



1 WHEREAS, on September 8, 2011, Plaintiff Scott Ozaki ("Plaintiff") commenced the  
2 above-captioned derivative action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of  
3 California by filing a complaint derivatively on behalf of the Oracle Corporation ("Oracle" or the  
4 "Company") against certain of its current and former officers and directors (collectively with  
5 nominal defendant Oracle, "Defendants") alleging breaches of fiduciary duties, waste of  
6 corporate assets, and unjust enrichment (the "Demand Refused Action");

7 WHEREAS, all Defendants in the Demand Refused Action have been served with the  
8 summons and complaint. The deadline for the personally-served defendants to respond to the  
9 complaint in the Demand Refused Action is October 03, 2011, the deadline for Oracle to respond  
10 is October 4, 2011, and the deadline for the defendants who waived service through counsel to  
11 respond is November 14, 2011;

12 WHEREAS, a related consolidated derivative action styled *In re Oracle Corporation*  
13 *Derivative Litigation*, Master File No. C-10-03392-RS, arising out of similar events and  
14 involving some of the same defendants, is currently pending before this Court (the "Demand  
15 Futility Action");

16 WHEREAS, on September 21, 2011, this Court issued an order relating, but not  
17 consolidating, the Demand Refused and Demand Futility Actions (the "Order");

18 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Order, the Demand Refused Action was reassigned to this  
19 Court, and the Court scheduled an initial case management conference in the Demand Refused  
20 Action for December 8, 2011;

21 WHEREAS, given the unique circumstances of this case, the parties have been discussing  
22 the benefits of conducting further discussions regarding the claims alleged and defenses thereto,  
23 and, in the interest of efficiency and economy, the parties request a temporary stay of this action.  
24 The parties will continue to be mindful to use their best efforts to coordinate any activity in the  
25 Demand Futility Action with this Demand Refused Action, to the extent appropriate;

26 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, between Plaintiff and Defendants, by  
27 and through their undersigned counsel, subject to the Court's approval:

1           1.       The Demand Refused Action, including all proceedings and deadlines, shall be  
2 stayed for at least 60 days from the entry of an order on this stipulation.

3           2.       The parties agree that no response by any defendant to the complaint shall be  
4 required pending entry by the Court of the proposed order granting the stay of this action.

5           3.       After 60 days have passed following the entry of an order on this stipulation,  
6 Plaintiff may provide written notice at any time to Defendants of his intention to proceed with  
7 this action.

8           4.       Following written notice of Plaintiff's intention to proceed with the action, unless  
9 the parties agree otherwise and appropriately provide notice to the Court, Plaintiff shall have 30  
10 days to either amend his complaint or give notice to Defendants that the original complaint shall  
11 remain the operative complaint. Defendants shall respond to the complaint within 45 days after  
12 service of an amended complaint or notice regarding the operative complaint. In the event that  
13 Defendants file any motion directed at the amended or operative complaint, Plaintiff's opposition  
14 shall be filed within 45 days of any such motion, and any reply brief shall be filed within 21 days  
15 of the opposition.

16           5.       As long as this action is stayed, the parties will file with the Court a brief update  
17 of the status of this action, at least every 90 days.

18 Dated: October 4, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

19                   ROBBINS UMEDA LLP  
20                   BRIAN J. ROBBINS  
21                   KEVIN A. SEELY  
22                   CHRISTOPHER L. WALTERS

23                   s/Kevin A. Seely

24                   KEVIN A. SEELY

25                   600 B Street, Suite 1900

26                   San Diego, CA 92101

27                   Telephone: (619) 525-3990

28                   Facsimile: (619) 525-3991

Attorneys for Plaintiff Scott Ozaki

1 *I, Kevin A. Seely, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this*  
2 *STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY ACTION, SET DEADLINE FOR*  
3 *PLAINTIFF TO DESIGNATE OR FILE OPERATIVE COMPLAINT, AND SET BRIEFING*  
*SCHEDULE ON RESPONSIVE MOTIONS. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I*  
*hereby attest that Philip T. Besirof has concurred in this filing.*

4 Dated: October 4 , 2011

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
PHILIP T. BESIROF

5  
6 s/Philip T. Besirof  
PHILIP T. BESIROF

7  
8 425 Market Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 268-7000  
9 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

10 Attorneys for defendants Lawrence J. Ellison,  
11 Safra A. Catz, Jeffrey O. Henley, Michael J.  
Boskin, H. Raymond Bingham, Donald L.  
12 Lucas, Jeffrey S. Berg, Bruce R. Chizen,  
Hector Garcia-Molina, Naomi O. Seligman,  
13 George H. Conrades, and Oracle Corporation

14  
15 \* \* \* ORDER \* \* \*

16  
17 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

18  
19 10/4/11

DATED

20   
HONORABLE RICHARD SLEBORG  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 657312